

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/760,580	01/16/2001	Keiko Mamiya	FUJY 18.239	4309
7590 11/28/2007 Katten Muchin Zavis Rosenman			EXAMINER	
575 Madison A			AL AUBAIDI, RASHA S	
New York, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

(t	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/760,580	MAMIYA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rasha S. AL-Aubaidi	2614
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Octoor</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Expression.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,15,16,21 and 24 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-2, 15-16, 21 and 24 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te

Application/Control Number:

09/760,580 Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This in response to and RCE filed 10/31/2007. No claims have been added. No further claims have been canceled. Claims 1-2, 15-16, 21 and 24 have been amended. Claims 1-2, 15-16, 21 and 24 are still pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2: The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 2 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

For the limitation "by the calling subscriber" as recited in claims 1, 2 and 21, the Examiner failed to find the proper support for the above limitation in the specification.

Applicant is required to point out to the specific part of the specification that provides clear support for this limitation.

Application/Control Number:

09/760,580 Art Unit: 2614

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1, 2, 15, 16, 21 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fox (U.S Patent No. 6,363,143) in view of Osman et al. (U.S Patent No 5,920,614).

Fox limitations and features are already addressed and presented in the previous office action (Final- action submitted and mailed 07/06/2007).

However, in regard to the limitations of "selecting, <u>by the calling party</u>" (as recited in claims 1, 2 and 21), "selecting, <u>at the calling terminal</u>" (as recited in claims 15 and 16) and "select, <u>by the first subscriber</u>" (as recited in claim 24), Fox does not teach clearly the fact of <u>providing information related to the called party</u> (the person who is being called) and have these information available to the calling party.

Nevertheless, Osman et al. teach a method for providing information to a caller about the subscriber (called party). For example, Osman discloses the information can be the present physical location of a subscriber with a telephone number (see abstract and col. 2, lines 8-13).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the feature of providing the calling subscriber about information related to other services that the called party subscriber too, as taught by Osman, into the Fox system in order to provide the calling party with more flexibility and diversity while handling calls or communicating with the called party. When the calling party informed that the called subscriber at this moment in certain geographical location he/she can have the option of reaching the called party at this current location or not.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number:

09/760,580

Art Unit: 2614

5. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are most in view of the new

Page 5

ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Rasha S AL-Aubaidi whose telephone number is (571)

272-7481. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to

5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (571) 272-7488.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RASHA S. AL-AUBAIDI

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 2614 11/25/2007